

1 John F. Triggs (TN BPR No. 026718)

2 *jft@iplawgroup.com*

3 WADDEY & PATTERSON, P.C.

4 1600 Division Street, Suite 500

5 Nashville, Tennessee 37203

6 Telephone: (615) 242-2400

7 Facsimile: (615) 242-2221

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff

9 WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

12 WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 ROADTEC, INC.,

16 Defendant.

No. 3:11-0729

Judge Trauger

Magistrate Judge Bryant

~~PROPOSED~~ STIPULATED  
FINAL JUDGMENT

17 Having reviewed the parties' Stipulated Final Judgment, being fully informed in  
18 the premises and for good cause shown, the Court makes the following findings and  
19 orders:

20 1. Plaintiff Wirtgen America, Inc. ("Wirtgen") is a Tennessee corporation  
21 having a principal place of business at 6030 Dana Way, Antioch, Tennessee 37103.

22 2. Defendant Roadtec, Inc. ("Roadtec") is a Tennessee corporation having a  
23 principal place of business at 800 Manufacturers Road, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37405.

24 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Roadtec.

25 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted in  
26 Wirtgen's Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338.

27 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1400(b) and 1391.

28 6. Wirtgen is the exclusive licensee of U.S. Patent No. 7,918,512 to  
Mannebach et al. for "Automotive Machine For Producing Carriageways", issued April

1 5, 2011 (the Patent-In-Suit), and Wirtgen has the exclusive right to enforce the Patent-  
2 In-Suit.

3 7. Roadtec has offered for sale in the United States subsequent to April 5,  
4 2011 soil stabilizer machines designated as its model SX-5 and SX-7 machines.

5 8. Roadtec's acts of offering its SX-5 and SX-7 soil stabilizer machines for  
6 sale in the United States constitute acts of direct infringement of the claims of the  
7 Patent-In-Suit.

8 9. Claims 1-27 of the Patent-In-Suit are not invalid.

9 10. Claims 1-27 of the Patent-In-Suit are enforceable.

10 11. The entry of this judgment fully and finally resolves, for purposes of *res*  
11 *judicata* and collateral estoppel, any and all issues of validity, infringement, and  
12 enforceability of the Patent-In-Suit *vis-à-vis* Roadtec's SX-5 and SX-7 soil stabilizer  
13 machines or any colorable imitation thereof.

14 12. The Court hereby enters final judgment in favor of Wirtgen and against  
15 Roadtec on the Complaint as specified above.

16 13. The parties have entered into the terms of a Settlement Agreement between  
17 the parties and this Settlement Agreement and the judgment are to be construed  
18 together.

19 14. All parties shall bear their respective attorneys' fees and costs.

20 15. The Court shall maintain jurisdiction over this action for purposes of  
21 enforcement of the Final Judgment and the Settlement Agreement between the parties.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Respectfully submitted and STIPULATED TO this the 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2012, by:

2  
3 /s/ John F. Triggs

4 (TN BPR No. 026718)

5 WADDEY & PATTERSON, P.C.

6 1600 Division Street, Suite 500

7 Nashville, Tennessee 37203

8 (615) 242-2400

9 (615) 242-2221 facsimile

10 Attorneys for Wirtgen America, Inc.

11 /s/ Richard W. Bethea w/permission

12 Richard W. Bethea ( TN BPR 006352)

13 John G. Jackson (TN BPR 013840)

14 CHAMBLISS, BAHNER & STOPHEL, P.C.

15 1000 Tallan Building, Two Union Square

16 Chattanooga, TN 37402

17 (423) 756-3000

18 (423) 265-9574 (Facsimile)

19 Attorneys for Roadtec, Inc.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED this 2nd day of February, 2012.

21 BY THE COURT

22 Aleta A. Trauger

23 HON. ALETA A. TRAUGER

24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE